

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2004

LB 888, 962

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, that's the problem rather than down there. Maybe Senator Friend can...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That was what I was looking at when I thought I might have to do something as far as amending Section 1. But there are two...oh, that's all I'll ask you, Senator Hartnett, and I'll engage Senator Friend just briefly.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Friend, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is a building code then shorthand an energy code. And the only thing that LB 888 is dealing with is the energy code. Is that correct, Senator Friend?

SENATOR FRIEND: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Then my first amendment that is up there that is FA1535 I will withdraw.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, next motion.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would now move to amend with FA1536. (Legislative Journal page 946.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on FA1536.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I had done so much detailed reading of Senator Schrock's water bill, I think it was LB 682 or LB 862 (sic LB 962) or something like that, that I carried it over onto Senator...it's not Senator Friend's bill I'm looking at. I'm looking at the committee amendment now. And, Senator, what I would do, Senator Hartnett, in line 10 instead of the word "Once" I would strike that and put "After." Then instead of saying, "Once a local code authority has submitted such explanation," it would say, "After a local code authority has submitted such explanation," and I think that would be a better way to say it. What do you think?